

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/595,310

Applicant(s)

YAMASHITA ET AL

Examiner

SING P. CHAN


Art Unit

1791

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SING P. CHAN

(3) _____

(2) George P. Bonauto 

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 July 2009

Type a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal (copy given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed 1 and 9

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

(Sing P. Chan)
Acting Examiner of Art Unit 1791

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. The examiner and Mr. Bonanto discussed the prior arts that were cited in the rejection to clarify the teaching of the prior arts. With respect to Rosenfield the examiner shows that Rosenfield provide the general teaching of the forming a metal layer or optical layer on a releasable substrate and applying a support substrate and then removing the releasable substrate and later if the optical layer is to be attached to another substrate the support substrate can then be removed. The examiner and Mr. Bonanto further discuss possible amendment to further prosecution such as citing the material for the second substrate and the method and order of removing the supple substrate from the subject body. The examiner believes these subject matters are not recited in the current cited prior art. A further search and consideration is required prior to allowance and since the case has a final rejection, may required an RCE to enter the new subject matter.